

On metric dimension of flower graphs $f_{n \times m}$ and convex polytopes*

Muhammad Imran¹, Fozia Bashir², Abdul Q. Baig¹, Syed Ahtsham Ul
Haq Bokhary³, Ayesha Riasat¹, Ioan Tomescu^{1,4}

¹ Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, GC University, 68-B, New
Muslim Town, Lahore, Pakistan

² Department of Mathematics, Lahore College for Women University Lahore,
Pakistan

³ Center for Advanced Studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin
Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan

⁴ Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Bucharest Str.
Academiei, 14, 010014 Bucharest, Romania
E-mail: {imrandhab, fozia.gc, aqbaig1, sihtsham, ayesha.riyasat}@gmail.com,
ioan@fmi.unibuc.ro

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C12

Abstract. Let G be a connected graph and $d(x, y)$ be the distance between the vertices x and y . A subset of vertices $W = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k\}$ is called a resolving set for G if for every two distinct vertices $x, y \in V(G)$, there is a vertex $w_i \in W$ such that $d(x, w_i) \neq d(y, w_i)$. A resolving set containing a minimum number of vertices is called a metric basis for G and the number of vertices in a metric basis is its metric dimension $dim(G)$.

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of connected graphs $G_n : \mathcal{F} = (G_n)_{n \geq 1}$ depending on n as follows: the order $|V(G)| = \varphi(n)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(n) = \infty$. If there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that $dim(G) \leq C$ for every $n \geq 1$ then we shall say that \mathcal{F} has bounded metric dimension; otherwise \mathcal{F} has unbounded metric dimension. If all graphs in \mathcal{F} have the same metric dimension (which does not depend on n), then \mathcal{F} is called a family with constant metric dimension.

The metric dimension of some classes of plane graphs has been determined in [3], [4], [5], [10], [12], [15] and [22], while metric dimension of some classes of convex polytopes has been studied in [10]. In this paper this study is extended, by considering flower graphs $f_{n \times m}$ and two classes of graphs associated to convex polytopes.

* This research is partially supported by Abdus Salam School of Mathematical Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan

Keywords: *Metric dimension, basis, resolving set, convex polytopes, flower graphs*

References

1. M. Bača, Labellings of two classes of convex polytopes, *Utilitas Math.* 34(1988), 24 – 31.
2. M. Bača, On magic labellings of convex polytopes, *Annals Disc. Math.* 51(1992), 13 – 16.
3. P. S. Buczowski, G. Chartrand, C. Poisson, P. Zhang, On k -dimensional graphs and their bases, *Periodica Math. Hung.*, 46(1)(2003), 9 – 15.
4. J. Caceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I. M. Pelayo, M. L. Puertas, C. Seara, D. R. Wood, On the metric dimension of Cartesian product of graphs, *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, 2(21), (2007), 423 – 441.
5. J. Caceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I. M. Pelayo, M. L. Puertas, C. Seara, D. R. Wood, On the metric dimension of some families of graphs, *Electronic Notes in Disc. Math.*, 22(2005), 129 – 133.
6. G. Chartrand, L. Eroh, M. A. Johnson, O. R. Oellermann, Resolvability in graphs and metric dimension of a graph, *Disc. Appl. Math.*, 105(2000), 99 – 113.
7. G. Chartrand, P. Zhang, The theory and applications of resolvability in graphs, *Congress. Numer.*, 160(2003), 47 – 68.
8. M. R. Garey, D. S. Johnson, *Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness*, Freeman, New York, 1979.
9. F. Harary, R. A. Melter, On the metric dimension of a graph, *Ars Combin.*, 2(1976), 191 – 195.
10. M. Imran, A. Q. Baig, A. Ahmad, Families of plane graphs with constant metric dimension, to appear in *Utilitas Math.*
11. M. Imran, A. Q. Baig, M. K. Shafiq, I. Tomescu, On metric dimension of generalized Petersen graphs $P(n, 3)$, to appear in *Ars Combin.*
12. I. Javaid, M. T. Rahim, K. Ali, Families of regular graphs with constant metric dimension, *Utilitas Math.*, 75(2008), 21 – 33.
13. M. A. Johnson, Structure-activity maps for visualizing the graph variables arising in drug design, *J. Biopharm. Statist.*, 3(1993), 203 – 236.
14. E. Jucovič, *Convex polyhedra*, Veda, Bratislava, 1981 (in Slovak).
15. S. Khuller, B. Raghavachari, A. Rosenfeld, Landmarks in graphs, *Disc. Appl. Math.*, 70(1996), 217 – 229.
16. S. Khuller, B. Raghavachari, A. Rosenfeld, Localization in graphs, Technical Report CS-TR-3326, University of Maryland at College Park, 1994.
17. R. A. Melter, I. Tomescu, Metric bases in digital geometry, *Computer Vision, Graphics, and Image Processing*, 25(1984), 113 – 121.
18. E. Mphako-Banda, Some polynomials of flower graphs, *International Math. Forum*, 2(51)(2007), 2511 – 2518.
19. O. Oellermann, J. Peters-Fransen, Metric dimension of Cartesian products of graphs, *Utilitas Math.*, 69(2006), 33 – 41.
20. P. J. Slater, Leaves of trees, *Congress. Numer.*, 14(1975), 549 – 559.

21. P. J. Slater, Dominating and reference sets in graphs, *J. Math. Phys. Sci.*, 22(1998), 445 – 455.
22. I. Tomescu, I. Javaid, On the metric dimension of the Jahangir graph, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum.*, 50(98), 4(2007), 371 – 376.
23. I. Tomescu, M. Imran, On metric and partition dimensions of some infinite regular graphs, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum.*, 52(100), 4(2009), 461 – 472.